MINUTES OF MEETING BELLA COLLINA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Bella Collina Community Development District was held Thursday, June 13, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. at the Bella Collina Clubhouse, 16350 Vetta Drive, Montverde, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Randal Greene Chairman
David Burman Vice Chairman
Duane Owen Assistant Secretary
Andrew Gorrill Assistant Secretary
Rick Scharich by phone Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

George Flint District Manager
Jay Lazarovich District Counsel
Steve Boyd by phone District Engineer
Robert Szozda by phone Field Manager
Sete Zare by phone Underwriter
Kendall Bulliet by phone Underwriter

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Flint called the meeting to order. Four members of the Board were present in person and Mr. Scharich joined via phone constituting a quorum.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Mr. Flint: Next is public comment period and we just have the Board members and staff here, so we will move on to approval of the minutes from April 11th.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of the April 11, 2024 Meeting

Mr. Flint: Did the Board have any comments or corrections to the April 11, 2024 minutes? If not is there a motion to approve them?

Mr. Greene: I had no changes. I'll make a motion to approve the minutes.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Gorrill, with all in favor, the Minutes of the April 11, 2024 Meeting, were approved, as presented.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Ratification of Pay Request #5 from Carr & Collier

Mr. Flint: Next item is ratification of Pay Request #5 from Carr & Collier. This is related to the irrigation improvements that are being constructed. As you recall, this contract was entered into by the District and the District entered into a Funding Agreement with DCS with the idea that we would eventually be issuing bonds and reimbursing these costs. But these are the improvements that are required under the Consumptive Use Permit. This was reviewed by Jim Boyd and signed off and authorized by him and it's been processed. We are just asking the Board to ratify it.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor, the Pay Request #5 from Carr & Collier, was ratified.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Proposal from Willdan Financial to Prepare Water and Sewer Rate Study and Miscellaneous Charge Study

Mr. Flint: Next is a proposal for a wastewater and irrigation study as well as miscellaneous charges. This is a proposal from Willdan. They've done some work previously for the District. They did the capital reserve study that we recently had done. They're out of Orlando. I contacted some other rate consultants as well. Everyone seems to be busy or just not in a position to be able to do the rate study in the timeframe that we would like it accomplished. We've negotiated this scope of work with Willdan and the price. It is a full rate study. We haven't had a rate study done since the District was originally created. That original rate study was done by Rob Ori at PRMG. The proposed price for this study is very reasonable; it's \$18,125. These typically would be in the \$25,000 to \$30,000 range. We feel comfortable with the price and the scope. One of the main reasons it's driving the need for the rate study is the fact that with these irrigation improvements we're going through and, we have it later in the agenda, a financing process to issue bonds to fund those improvements, but we are also switching our irrigation from basically using potable water to using surface water from Lake Siena. We do have a separate irrigation line, but that line is currently charged with potable water that is treated at the water plants. This rate study in part would

be looking at the irrigation rates, but also be looking at our water, wastewater and miscellaneous charges. Any questions on the proposal?

Mr. Greene: Do you think there is a possibility based on our conversations that there could be a reduction in any of the irrigation costs?

Mr. Flint: It's possible. Theoretically, the costs of pumping water out of Lake Siena to provide irrigation is cheaper than treating potable water.

Mr. Greene: Right.

Mr. Flint: But you have revenue requirements to operate the system.

Mr. Greene: Right. I was just referring to actual costs of the water itself.

M. Flint: The costs likely for irrigation will go down. But will the total bill go down? Probably not because that reduction in revenue on the irrigation is going to need to be offset somewhere because you still have the same operating costs. I don't think there is going to necessarily be a reduction in operating costs for the water plants as a result of the reduced pumping because a lot of those costs are fixed. That's why we want them to look at it. I don't really want to say one way or another because I don't really know.

Mr. Greene: I am not looking at pinning down one number, I was just curious if there was even a hope that possibility of the water going down.

Mr. Flint: When you look at the percentage of our revenue from irrigation rates, it's significant.

Mr. Greene: Right.

Mr. Flint: So, even if your costs are reduced for production of irrigation water, the revenue loss, again, is going to need to come from somewhere. It's likely going to put pressure on the potable.

Mr. Greene: Right.

Mr. Flint: We will find that out. The timeline for accomplishment of this, we're hoping by August we'll at least have a preliminary report or a summary letter report with the results of this. Hopefully in time for the public hearing for the funding of the irrigation improvements. We've had some calls with the rate consultant. They've provided us a data request. We're compiling. We've provided some information to them already in hopes that the Board would approve this agreement.

Mr. Greene: I will make a motion to approve.

Mr. Gorrill: Second

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Gorrill, with all in favor, the Proposal from Willdan Financial to Prepare Water and Sewer Rate Study and Miscellaneous Charge Study, was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financing Matters

A. Consideration of Engineer's Report

Mr. Flint: Next is financing matters. The first item under this is related to the irrigation improvements I just referred to. The District has retained Boyd Environmental with Jim Boyd. He is involved in the design and management of these improvements. He prepared a report and it's dated June 2024. I don't believe he is on, but we will make sure we have him on for the actual public hearing. But he has prepared a report that describes the project and provides the estimated costs of the improvements. If you turn to table 13, which is on page 53 of the PDF and page 19 of his report, you can see he is estimating an engineer's estimate of \$10,000,000 for these improvements. It includes the pump stations, the piping, there is irrigation wells that are required, back up irrigation wells, and then there is some electrical improvements to be able to fund the wells and the pumps. And then there is soft costs in a contingency in there. The preliminary cost estimate we're working with for purposes of initiating the assessment process is the \$10,000,000. Any questions on the Engineer's report? Is there a motion to approve it?

Mr. Greene: I will make that motion.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor, the Engineer's Report, was approved.

B. Consideration of Master Assessment Methodology

Mr. Flint: We took the Engineer's report, and we prepared a Master Assessment Methodology. You see it in your agenda dated June 13, 2024. Table 1, which is on page 75 of the PDF, shows the number of units. There is 866 single family, 62 commercial, 100 condo. Those ERU factors are assigned to each of those product types. This is consistent with the original special assessment bonds that were issued as far as ERU factors. Table 2 are the cost estimates that were taken from the Engineer's report; that is the \$10,000,000. Table 3 is a preliminary bond sizing; a conservative bond sizing for purposes of the assessment process, which are intended to give the Board flexibility when we actually price and issue the bonds. So, you can see the \$10,000,000

construction funds, one year is max annual debt, capitalized interest for 12 months, underwriters fee and then cost of issuance with a par amount of \$12,230,000. It assumes 6.50% interest rate, which hopefully when we actually price, we will come in lower than that. But this, again, we're using conservative parameters for purposes of this. The cost estimates are conservative. The bond sizing assumptions are conservative. We don't want to run into an issue later when we're actually pricing that we can't finance. Table 4 shows you the allocation of benefit based on the improvement cost per unit. Table 5 shows you the allocation of benefit based on par debt. Table 6 shows what the annual net and gross assessment would be if we issued \$10,000,000 at those conservative parameters. You can see a single-family home would receive an assessment of \$1,066 per year. The condo is \$400. And then there is a commercial ERU factor for the clubhouse, etc. Table 7 is the preliminary assessment roll listing all the properties in the District and what the assessments would be if we were to issue \$10,000,000 under those parameters. Are there any questions on the Master Methodology? Is there a motion to approve it?

Mr. Greene: I make a motion to approve.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Gorrill, with all in favor, the Master Assessment Methodology, was approved.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2024-04 Declaring Special Assessments

Mr. Flint: Next, we have two resolutions. This is in order to go through the assessment process to be able to impose these assessments on the properties within the Districts. The first resolution declares the District's intent to levy assessments. It's not actually levying them, but it's just declaring the intent. And then the second resolution is to set the public hearing where you would actually consider the levy of the assessments. Jay, is there anything you want to expand on?

Mr. Lazarovich: Yes. So, declaring resolution is just declaring the special assessments on the project area in accordance with the Master Methodology Report. It also approves the form of the Engineer's report.

Mr. Flint: Part of this process is there is a public hearing that is required. There are some legal notices that have to be run, but in addition to that, there would be first class mailed notice to all the properties within the District informing them of the day, place and time of the public hearing and a proposed amount of the assessment. It would also explain to them where they can get a copy

of the Engineer's report, the methodology and that sort of thing. We probably would want to hold the hearing downstairs here.

Mr. Greene: Sure.

Mr. Flint: I don't know if this room will be big enough. You never know.

Mr. Greene: Yes, we can get in the ballroom.

Mr. Flint: We will need to make sure the ballroom is available.

Mr. Greene: Andy, can you?

Mr. Gorrill: Yes.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Burman, with all in favor, Resolution 2024-04 Declaring Special Assessments, was approved.

D. Consideration of Resolution 2024-05 Setting a Public Hearing for Special Assessments

Mr. Flint: Then, 2024-05 is the resolution that would set a hearing and I believe we were looking at your August meeting. It's August 8th at 10:00 a.m. in this location. Sara, is that consistent with our timeline?

Ms. Zare: Hi George, it's Sete.

Mr. Flint: Oh, Sete. I'm sorry.

Ms. Zare: I believe we were aiming for a special Board meeting in July giving plenty of time to actually get those mailed notices out. This is certainly in regards to the availability of the Board but looking for a continued Board meeting in July.

Mr. Greene: When in July?

Mr. Flint: We have the 30-day notice.

Ms. Zare: Maybe the third or fourth week of July.

Mr. Flint: Yes.

Ms. Zare: And George, I don't know how much time you need to get those mailed notices out, but some time in the third or fourth week of July.

Mr. Greene: Yes. If we can do the fourth week. I am in D.C.

Mr. Owen: Yes. I am gone until the 24th myself.

Mr. Flint: So, the 25th is the Thursday. Would that be ok if we did the 25th?

Mr. Greene: Yes.

Mr. Flint: It gives us another two weeks. We will fill in July 25th at 10:00 a.m. in this location. We'll make sure we can get the ballroom for that purpose. Is there a motion to approve the resolution?

Mr. Gorrill: Motion.
Mr. Greene: Second.

On MOTION by Mr. Gorrill, seconded by Mr. Greene, with all in favor, Resolution 2024-05 Setting a Public Hearing for Special Assessments, was approved.

Mr. Flint: All right. Anything else, Sete, on the financing?

Ms. Zare: Nothing on my end. Thank you.

E. Retention of Bond Team

i. Bond Counsel Engagement Letter from Greenberg Traurig

Mr. Flint: Next is retention of the bond team. You've already approved an engagement with MBS, I think, in 2019 related to this.

Mr. Greene: That's Brett right?

Mr. Flint: Yes. Sete and Kendall are with Brett at MBS. We do need to reengage Bond Counsel Greenberg Traurig. And so, you have an engagement letter in your agenda from Greenberg Traurig Bond Counsel. Is there a motion to approve that?

Mr. Greene: Motion.
Mr. Owen: Second.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor, the Bond Counsel Engagement Letter from Greenberg Traurig, was approved.

ii. Trustee Proposal from Regions Bank

Mr. Flint: There is a proposal from Regions Bank to serve as Trustee. They're your current Trustee.

Mr. Greene: They are the current Trustee?

Mr. Flint: Yes. U.S. Bank is on most of our deals, but back at the time these bonds were issued, Regions was in the Trustee market and it doesn't really make sense to switch because we have the other bond issue with them.

Mr. Greene: Do you need a motion on that?

Mr. Flint: Yes. Is there a motion?

Mr. Greene: Motion.

Mr. Flint: Is there a second?

Mr. Gorrill: Second.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Gorrill, with all in favor, the Trustee Proposal from Regions Bank, was approved.

F. Consideration of Amended Acquisition Agreement

Mr. Flint: You want to present the Acquisition Agreement, Jay?

Mr. Lazarovich: Yes. Back in December of 2023, the Board entered into an Acquisition Agreement with the developer. This is amending and receiving that Acquisition Agreement to put in certain terms related to the bond issue. The agreement goes over reimbursement to the developer, turnover, the improvements and it's in pretty similar form. But we are asking for a motion to approve it in substantially final form as we still have to present it to the developer.

Mr. Flint: And we didn't have Jim Boyd's report at the time the agreement was originally entered into, so that Engineer's report will be an attachment.

Mr. Greene: Has the developer made any comments to the agreement at all?

Mr. Lazarovich: To the previous one, yes, the Acquisition Agreement itself. So, we don't expect to have much on this.

Mr. Greene: Right.

Mr. Flint: Obviously, if the Board approves it, they have comments or issues, it's a two-party agreement and we'll come back. Any questions on the Acquisition Agreement? If not, is there a motion to approve the amended.

Mr. Gorrill: Do I have to recuse myself for doing some of the work for the developer for the repairs?

Mr. Flint: If you're doing some of the work. There is a Form 8B conflict form. I can provide that to you.

Mr. Gorrill: Ok.

Mr. Greene: Do you need a motion?

Mr. Flint: Yes. Is there a motion?

Mr. Greene: Motion to approve.

Mr. Owen: Second

*Supervisor Gorrill recused himself from the motion.

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor and Mr. Gorrill abstaining from the vote, the Amended Acquisition Agreement, was approved in substantially final form 4-0

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Transfer of Consumptive
Use Permit – *Under Separate Cover*

Mr. Flint: Next is consideration of the transfer of Consumptive Use Permit. I don't have the backup on this. The purpose of this item is the Consumptive Use Permit is currently in the developer's name and I believe there is a desire at this point to go ahead and transfer that to the CDD. Ideally, it would be in the CDD's name because we are operating the utility. I don't have the backup. Jay, do you have anything on this?

Mr. Lazarovich: I do not. No.

Mr. Flint: Do we want the Board to delegate authority on the transfer of the Consumptive Use Permit or do we want to defer until we have more information?

Mr. Lazarovich: I would say defer it.

Mr. Greene: So, what you're saying is currently the developer has the Consumptive Use Permit, correct, and transferring it to the CDD?

Mr. Flint: Right.

Mr. Greene: Okay.

Mr. Flint: I guess we can hold the July meeting. We probably want to do it before the public hearing.

Mr. Lazarovich: Are we going to hold the July meeting?

Mr. Flint: I don't know.

Mr. Greene: Is that a mandatory?

Mr. Flint: What's that?

Mr. Greene: The transfer of the permit.

Mr. Flint: It's not mandatory. In discussions with the developer, I think they desire at this point to do that.

Mr. Greene: Right.

Mr. Flint: And it typically makes sense to do that.

Mr. Greene: Yes. Because you sell out.

Mr. Flint: Well also all these improvements that we're doing are a requirement of that Consumptive Use Permit.

Mr. Greene: Right.

Mr. Flint: It would make sense that the District is the holder of that permit.

Mr. Greene: Totally.

Mr. Flint: We could always have the Board delegate authority and then decide if you're ok with it.

Mr. Greene: That's fine.

Mr. Flint: Is there a motion to delegate authority to the Chair or Vice Chair to execute the documents necessary subject to review of the Engineer and Counsel for the transfer of the Consumptive Use Permit? So, it will have to be signed off by Counsel and by the Engineer and everyone before the Chair or Vice Chair will sign off.

Mr. Burman: Motion.

Mr. Owen: Yes. So moved.

On MOTION by Mr. Burman, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor, Delegating Authority to the Chair or Vice Chair to Execute Documents Necessary Subject to Review of the Engineer and Counsel for the Transfer of the Consumptive Use Permit, was approved.

Mr. Owen: That includes all the methods of enforcement of these.

Mr. Flint: Well, there is still the method of enforcement is in the governing documents.

Mr. Owen: The POA documents.

Mr. Flint: That doesn't change, I don't think.

Mr. Greene: The POA?

Mr. Owen: There is a couple of pages in there about it.

Mr. Greene: But, even with a transfer, I guess.

Mr. Flint: I don't think. I am not an attorney. I didn't stay at the Holiday Inn last night either. But I don't think the governing documents for the POA are impacted by who is the holder.

Mr. Owen: Yes. I don't think they would.

Mr. Greene: But I guess it would fall on the POA because that has always been the case, right?

Mr. Owen: Yes. In my opinion, one of the big problems with this water issue is that all three different agencies or entities have some sort of finger in the pie. It makes it tough when all three have to cooperate with exactly the same goal in mind and that is rarely going to be the case.

Mr. Flint: Yes. The benefit of the POA is the fining and enforcement capabilities.

Mr. Greene: They've got the strongest hand.

Mr. Flint: Right. The CDD, we can cut your water off, but you don't really want to cut peoples water off. The fining capabilities and everything that the POA have is really the benefit of them being involved.

Mr. Owen: Fines are statutory limited. So, if you have a \$3,000 water bill and they just get to pay the \$1,000 fine, that's better. Let's just pay the fine.

Mr. Flint: If they have a \$3,000 water bill, it's still due. We would enforce that. It's the over usage that I think is the issue.

Mr. Greene: That leads to my question about CC&Rs. In CC&Rs it doesn't specifically say, if I remember correctly, that it's usually the developer that they can argue owner of the Consumption Use Permit that delegates the enforcement of the POA. You need to state that the CDD, now the owner, will delegate. Because it doesn't say anything in CC&Rs about the CDD.

Mr. Flint: I think the CC&Rs refer to the same section of the Consumptive Use Permit that the CDD's policy does.

Mr. Greene: Oh, it does. Okay. It's been a while since I've read that.

Mr. Flint: Doesn't it?

Mr. Owen: I think it does. It has been a while for me too.

Mr. Flint: There is a section in the Consumptive Use Permit that talks about the caps for the different sized lots, it talks about the soil amendments, it talks about other things because those are actually part of the Consumptive Use Permit. But again, if we find out there is something like that that is causing issue for us not to want to transfer it, then we won't. But you have the option of being able to.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

i. Memorandum Regarding Recently Enacted Legislation

Mr. Flint: Staff reports; attorney?

Mr. Lazarovich: Yes, we included a memo in the agenda. This just goes over some new laws that were recently enacted. They go into effect July 1, 2024. Most notably on the policy, these will now have to set forth goals and objectives and specific measurements to meet those goals and objectives. If they succeed or fail to meet those, that will need to be posted on the website. The first report will be due October 1, 2024 and then every December 1st following that. And that is the only update from us.

B. Engineer

Mr. Flint: Engineer, Steve, anything?

Mr. Boyd: We're continuing to work on those line transfers. We're working with ASM to get some sketching descriptions ready. The parcels overlap with land that will continue to be owned by the landowner. We're still working on that. I provided George and Rob with a proposal to make improvements to the outfall in plat W12A, which is one of the plats to be transferred. There are about three contractors that I think would be appropriate contact for this work. The question is, is this something that we are going to do a transfer or do it in cooperation with the POA. I just need some direction as to how to proceed with that.

Mr. Flint: Okay. Why don't we talk offline on that.

Mr. Boyd: Okay.

Mr. Flint: You're also working on some clean up related to the utility improvements that were constructed in relation to the condos?

Mr. Boyd: Right. Also, we're going ASM on some legal descriptions associated with those. That is correct, George.

Mr. Flint: Ok. We've got the lift stations and the lines that were constructed. For the condos we want to make sure we've got easements in place for those.

C. District Manager's Report

i. Approval of Check Register

Mr. Flint: District Manager's report, we have the check register for the month of May for the general fund, the water and sewer fund, and Board pay. Any questions on the check register? Is there a motion to approve it?

Mr. Burman: Motion.

Mr. Owen: Second.

On MOTION by Mr. Burman, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor, the Check Register, was approved.

ii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. Flint: You have the unaudited financials through May 31st for all the funds, general fund, capital reserve, debt, water and sewer, and capital projects funds. There is no action required on the financials. If you have any questions.

iii. Presentation of Number of Registered Voters - 390

Mr. Flint: Next is presentation of the number of registered voters. There are 390 as of April 15th. It did trigger the 250 registered voters necessary for the first two seats on the Board to transfer to the general election process. The qualifying period is actually this week. I believe we announced that at a prior meeting. We will know at the end of this week whether anyone is qualified to run for those seats. There will be one seat that will continue to be landowner elected and two seats for general election.

Mr. Greene: Who is up?

Mr. Flint: We have David, Rocky, and Rick. Two of those will go to general election and one will be landowner elected in November. But I don't have the note on which one is the landowner. If no one qualifies through the qualifying period, then the Board will declare the seats vacant, and you can appoint someone to those seats. They'll still need to be a general elector. They'll have to live within the District to be on there. And if there isn't anyone to appoint, the existing incumbent can hold over in that seat for a period of time.

iv. SBA Florida PRIME Monthly Summary Report

Mr. Flint: All right. You have the SBA monthly report. There is no action required for that.

v. Form 1 Filing Reminder - Due July 1st

Mr. Flint: Reminder that the Form 1 financial disclosure form is due by July 1, if you haven't filed that. Again, that's done electronically now. You should have received an email from the Commission on Ethics and also a reminder email went out, I think, on June 1. Again, that is done online directly, not through the Supervisor of Elections.

D. Field Managers Report

Mr. Flint: The Field Manager's report, Rob? You want to just give a real brief one.

Mr. Szozda: Yes. George, can you hear me ok?

Mr. Flint: Yes.

Mr. Szozda: Very good. George, the first question is did you pass out the frequently asked questions relative to water, waste water and irrigation to the Board members?

Mr. Flint: I have it here. I will provide it.

Mr. Szozda: If everybody could just take a look at that and provide any feedback. Barring any objection to distributing, we would like to distribute that immediately. I think the plan going forward will be to, if there are any updates, revisit it quarterly and then we'll send out an update if necessary. Let me jump into some of the completed tasks over the last couple of months. I think everybody on the Board is aware that Andy Hatton turned in his resignation in April. I took up the duties since then and will continue with the duties going forward here as the Field Manager for Bella Collina. Some of the activities that were completed here over the last couple of months because we haven't met since April quite a bit of work was done at the Hillcrest Water Plant. We power washed the facility. We sealed the roof that was discussed last month. I looked at it. There is a little bit of touch up work that needs to be done, so I've asked Berry Construction to come back out and clean that up. Also, it's the out of service pump that was being repaired has since been returned to service, so we're at full compacity at the Hillcrest Water Plant. There was previously a fair amount of discussion around the grinder stations and the PM program. I did meet with RCM. We do have a plan and a tentative financial proposal. George and I have discussed it a few times on what to do, what is the frequency of any sort of PM, and what is the cost of it situationally. One of the things that is going to be basically in motion starting on Monday of next week and that is the cleanouts on the force main. Since that contract was ordered, two of them actually have broken and those have been lowered. But in earnest, the other 50 plus, I believe it is, will be starting on Monday. They've marked the utilities, and they will start dating to get that in place starting on Monday. We continue to have a number of leaks. Basically, we've had about 50 service calls from RCM. A lot of those have to do with lakes, so we continue to fight the battles on the lakes. George had mentioned the utilities study. We will continue to do that. I am the primary source of information there. We also did a pump out of the lift station at Siena Towers. That, unfortunately, was not on our regularly scheduled servicing, so that is going to go on to a quarterly servicing. Related grinder stations, 11 have been installed since the last meeting. There is two that are pending. One is cleared to go in. The other one has some on site issues that need to

be cleaned up before that can go in. In this period, we did receive five grinder station alarms. All those issues have been corrected. And then, we've had 13 water meters installed since the last meeting. Work going forward, probably the focus is, as George has mentioned, is the irrigation water supply that was in motion. There are a number of minor leaks at the Hillcrest Water Plant that we are working on. We, in earnest, started on the backflow, inventory of all the pipe backflow preventers in Bella Collina and printing that program into compliance. I think that's it for the most part. If there is no other questions, that's it for the field report.

Mr. Flint: Any questions for Rob? All right. Thank you, Rob. I appreciate it.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Flint: All right. Any other business or Supervisor's request? Andy?

Mr. Gorrill: I went for a ride around with the public safety officer for Lake County and the question that came up was the flow rates for the fire hydrants.

Mr. Flint: Right.

Mr. Gorrill: I don't know if that's something we can have general utilities do or if RCM would have to do it.

Mr. Flint: Yes. Dennis had sent an email on that, and I don't know if you were on the emails. There was some email discussion internally. Most counties have standards. Lake County actually doesn't have any standards and they are in the process of developing those. So, in discussions internally with Tom Felton and Jim Boyd and Rob, we felt like we should wait. Apparently, they're very close to issuing. And once they issue those, then we will work it because we've kind of made a decision we were going to wait. If you talk to the public service director, let him know we're just waiting for them to publish their standards and then we will do the testing.

Mr. Gorrill: Okay.

Mr. Flint: There are pressure standards and then there is a color scheme. The hydrants are painted different colors based on the pressures that they've generated. We kind of need to know all that. The county is usually the one that is enforcing that. There hasn't been a program up to this point, but they are working on that.

Mr. Gorrill: Thank you.

Mr. Flint: Anything else?

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests

There being no comments, the next item followed.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Mr. Flint: Is there a motion to adjourn?

On MOTION by Mr. Greene, seconded by Mr. Owen, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Docusigned by:

George Flint

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Secretary Signed by:

Randall Green

TOABF-2AB8E85457...

Chairman/Vice Chairman